

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/553,084 | 10/11/2005 | Nobuyuki Haji | MAT-8747US | 2086 |
| | RATNERPRESTIA P.O. BOX 980 RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO | | INER | |
| P.O. BOX 980 | | | , ARMANDO | |
| VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 2828 | |
| | | _ | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVER | Y MODE |
| 3 MO | NTHS | 04/27/2007 | PAF | PER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/553,084 Examiner | HAJI ET AL. |
| | | Art Unit |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicat | ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ | the correspondence address |
| eriod for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABANI | TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. |
| tatus | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n . | |
| | ☑ This action is non-final. | • |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for | | , prosecution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice u | | |
| isposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applic | ation. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement. | , |
| pplication Papers | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex | raminer. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[| ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by t | the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | correction is required if the drawing(s) i | s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. Note the attached O | ffice Action or form PTO-152. |
| riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f | oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(a)-(d) or (f) |
| a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of: | | |
| Certified copies of the priority doc | uments have been received. | |
| Certified copies of the priority doc | uments have been received in Appli | ication No |
| Copies of the certified copies of the | e priority documents have been rec | eived in this National Stage |
| application from the International | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for | r a list of the certified copies not rec | eived. |
| | | |
| • | • | • |
| ttachment(s) | | |
|) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 | 4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ms | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-11-05.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the introduces the limitation "laser light" and makes reference to the laser light as "laser beam", it is requested for applicant to maintain consistency when making reference to the laser light. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 2,

Claim 2 requires both pairs of first and second flow laminators limitations to be considered, however claim 1 only requires at least one of first and second flow laminators, thereby the claim is ambiguous.

Regarding claim 5,

It is not clear what applicant's intends to claim by the limitation "like a cotton ball", thereby the claim is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/553,084

Art Unit: 2828

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weick et al (US 5,811,753).

Regarding claim 1,

Weick et al illustrates in figure 1 a laser generating part (3), a laser introducing duct (4), a light path duct (5), a condensing lens (7) and at least one of a pair of a first gas introducing part (12) and first flow laminator (20).

Weick et al does illustrates a laser generating part, but is silent as to the particular element of the mirrors of laser beam generator.

However, it is notoriously well known in the art for laser machine tool to include mirrors within the laser generator.

Regarding claim 6,

Column 2 lines 45-48, discloses maintaining the air container under pressure so it can flow directly into the beam delivery passage (4), which implies the gas being supplied when the laser is working and not working.

Regarding claim 7,

Column 4 lines 15-19, discloses the use of one inert gas (air).

Regarding claim 8,

Figure 1 illustrates a gas supply (12), which supplies air, a filter (20) for filtering the air, column 4 lines 34-42.

Regarding claim 9,

Application/Control Number: 10/553,084

Art Unit: 2828

Column 4 lines 15-19, discloses removing gases from the beam delivery passage (4), which implies that the pressure in the tube (4) is higher than the pressure outside.

Claims 2, 3, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weick et al (US 5,811,753) in view of Ventriglio (US 3,619,130).

Weick et al discloses in column 4 lines 34-42, a molecular sieve filter (20) .

Weick et al is silent as to the filter including a plurality of mesh filters.

However, it is well known in the art for molecular sieves to includes a plurality of mesh filters, as disclosed by Ventriglio in column 2 lines 13-16, which discloses an 8-12 mesh molecular sieve.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ whose telephone number is 571-272-1952. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MINSUN HARVEY can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

AR